Appendix 3 – Rating of Recommendations

At the last Audit and Governance Committee Members requested further clarification on how audit recommendations are graded. We detail below how we assess the importance of recommendations which we make. Within the table we also set out how we can apply these priorities to recommendations we could make in a particular audit. This example is a review of Health and Safety.

Priority		Health and Safety Example Audit
Red (Priority 1	A significant weakness in the system or process which is putting the Council at serious risk of not achieving its strategic aims and objectives. In particular: significant adverse impact on reputation ; non-compliance with key statutory requirements; or substantially raising the likelihood that any of the Council's strategic risks will occur. Any recommendations in this category would require immediate attention .	compliance with Health and Safety Legislation, i.e. No Health
Amber (Priority 2)	A potentially significant or medium level weakness in the system or process which could put the Council at risk of not achieving its strategic aims and objectives. In particular, having the potential for adverse impact on the Council's reputation or for raising the likelihood of the Council's strategic risks occurring, if not addressed .	compliance with Health and Safety legislation if not corrected or improved, ie Health and Safety Policy in place, however,
Green (Priority 3)	Recommendations which could improve the efficiency and/or effectiveness of the system or process but which are not vital to achieving the Council's strategic aims and objectives. These are generally issues of good practice that we consider would achieve better outcomes.	Health and Safety Policy in place, however, could be subject to minor improvement, such as